# Incidence and Pattern of Congenital Heart Disease in Children - a Hospital Based Study

Dr. RukeyaBegum, DCH, MD<sup>1</sup>, Dr. KumudPathak, MD<sup>2</sup>, Dr. HimadriDas, MD<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>(Pediatrics), Associate Professor of Pediatrics, <sup>2</sup>Asst.Professor of pediatrics, <sup>3</sup>Resident Physician, Pediatrics. From the dept of Pediatrics, Gauhati medical college and hospital, Assam, India.

**Abstract:** Congenital Heart Disease (CHD) comprises one of the major diseases in pediatric age group. Congenital Heart Disease is a leading cause of morbidity and mortality in children. Present study was aimed to find out the clinical profile of children admitted with congenital heart disease in a tertiary care hospital. It was a hospital based observational study. Duration of study was 1 year starting from June 2014 to May 2015. All children between age group 1 month to 12 years, admitted in pediatric department over a period of one year were included in the study. History and clinical examination was done and disease was suspected in patient having cardiac murmur, presence of cyanosis, feeding difficulties, cyanosis associated with feeding difficulties, clubbing, features of congestive cardiac failure, or failure to thrive. Final diagnosis was confirmed by Echocardiography, then analysed to know the pattern of congenital heart disease. Total admission in pediatrics ward during period of study was 3359. Total number of children admitted with congenital heart disease was 82. Incidence of congenital heart disease was 2.44% The findings of the present study were comparable to other previous studies.

# I. Introduction

Congenital Heart Disease (CHD) comprises one of the major diseases in pediatric age group. Among the all congenital malformations, Congenital Heart Disease is leading cause of morbidity and mortality in children.<sup>1</sup> Congenital heart disease by definition is the structural abnormalities of heart or intra-thoracic great vessels present since birth that is actually or potentially of functional significance regardless of the age of detection (Mitchell et all, IJP, April 2013)<sup>1</sup>.

The reported prevalence of congenital heart diseases (CHD) ranges from 1.01 to 17.5 per 1000 live births according to various studies over the world<sup>[2-4]</sup>. In India, the prevalence of CHD is 2.25-5.2 per 1000 children<sup>5</sup>. In India, the incidence of CHD is 3.9/1000 live births, as reported by a Khalil et al in a hospital based study<sup>6</sup>. In community based studies from India the prevalence of CHD ranges from 0.8-5.2/1000 children<sup>[6-7]</sup>. Nearly 1/3rd of the congenital heart diseases (CHD) are critical requiring interventions in the first year of life<sup>6</sup>.7% of the neonatal deaths are due to congenital malformations, 25% of which are cardiovascular<sup>8</sup>. In India, 10% of the present infant mortality may be accounted for by Congenital Heart Disease as reported by Saxenaet al<sup>7</sup>. The profile of Congenital Heart Disease varies with the age group studied. Simple and potentially correctable heart defects like Ventricular Septal defect(VSD), Atrial Septal Defect (ASD) and patent ductus arteriosus (PDA) were common at all the ages.

The varied structural abnormalities in congenital heart diseases fall primarily into three major categories

- a) Malformations causing a left to right shunt.
- b) Malformations causing right to left shunt.
- c) Malformations causing an obstruction.

Left To Right Shunts: The most commonly encountered left to right shunts include;

- a) Atrial septal defect.
- b) Ventricular septal defect.
- c) Patent ductus arteriosus.
- d) Atrioventricular septal defects.

**Right To Left Shunts:** These are the cyanotic group of diseases. Here are the commonly encountered right to left shunts.

1. Tetralogy of Fallot.

- 2. Transposition of great arteries.
- 3. Persistent truncus arteriosus.
- 4. Tricuspid atresia.

**Obstructive Congenital Abnormalities:** Congenital abnormalities to blood flow may occur at the level of heart valves or within a great vessel. The common examples are;

1. Coarctation of aorta.

- 2. Pulmonary stenosis and atresia.
- 3. Aortic stenosis and atresia.

Present study was aimed to find out the clinical profile of patients admitted with congenital heart disease in a tertiary care hospital.

# II. Materials and Methods

The present study was a hospital based observational study. Duration of study was 1 year starting from June 2014 to May 2015. The study was conducted after taking clearance from ethical committee of SrimantaSankaradeva University of Health Sciences. All children between age group 1 month to 12 years, admitted in pediatric department over a period of one year from june 2014 to may 2015were included in the study. Informed consent was taken from parents or caregivers & a thorough history and clinical examination was carried out and congenital heart disease was suspected in patient having cardiac murmur, presence of cyanosis, feeding difficulties, cyanosis associated with feeding difficulties, clubbing, features of congestive cardiac failure, or failure to thrive.

Study group was first assessed clinically according to a preformed proforma including sex and age of presentation & undergone routine investigations, chest x-ray, ECG and Electrocardiography. Final diagnosis was confirmed by Echocardiography, then analysed to know pattern of congenital heart disease.

**Inclusion Criteria-** Children of age group 1month to 12 yrs, first time diagnosed for CHD and admitted in pediatric department,GMCH.

## **Exclusion Criteria**

- 1. -Old cases already evaluated by echocardiography and came for follow up.
- 2. -Children with acquired heart disease.
- 3. -Unstable patients who died before the confirmation of diagnosis.
- 4. -Congenital arrhythmia (Wolff-Parkinson-white syndrome,Long QT syndrome)
- 5. -Documented hydrops foetalis.
- 6. -Functionless abnormalities of great vein (persistent left superior venacava)

# III. Result and Observation

Total admission in pediatrics ward during period of study -3359 Total number of children admitted with congenital heart disease is- 82 Incidence = 2.44%

Table most Insidence of secondaria beautidiance

Table no: I incidence of acyanotic neart disease.										
Acyanotic heart disease	No	Percentage								
Ventricular septal defect	33	40.2%								
Atrial septal defect	10	12.2%								
Patent ductus arteriosus	3	3.6%								
Atrioveantricular septal defect	2	2.4%								
Pulmonary stenosis	3	3.6%								

Table no:2 Incidence of cyanotic heart disease	;
--	---

Cyanotic heart disease	No	Percentage
Tetralogy of fallot	16	19.5%
Pentalogy of fallot	1	1.2%
Tricuspid atresia	4	4.9%
Transposition of great artery	3	3.6%
Total anoaamalous pulmonary circulation	1	1.2%
Double outlet right ventricle	4	4.9%
Complex lesion	2	2.4%

 Table no3: Agewise distribution of various congenital heart disease.

Age	VSD	TOF	ASD	TA	TGA	TAPVC		PDA	DORV	PS	AVD	Complex
group												lesion
1-12	28	6	5	2	3	1	1	3	3	3	2	2
month	(34.1%)	(7.3%)	(6%)	(2.4%)	(3.6%)	(1.2%)	(1.2%)	(3.6%)	(3.6%)	(3.6%)	(2.4%)	(2.4%)
1-5	5	8	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
years	(6%)	(9.7%)	(1.2%)	(1.2%)								
5-12	0	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
years		(2.4%)	(4.8%)	(1.2%)					(1.2%)			

 Table no4: Gender distribution of acyanotic and cyanotic congenital heart disease.

Sex	ACHD	CCHD
Male	32(39%)	23(28%)
Female	19(23.1%)	8(9.7%)

Table no5: Agewise distribution of acyanotic and cyanotic congenital heart disease

Age group	ACHD	CCHD
1-12 months	41(50%)	18(21.9%)
1-5 years	6(7.3%)	9(10.9%)
5-12 years	4(4.8%)	4(4.8%)

#### Table no6:Gender distribution of various congenital heart disease

								-				
Sex	VSD	TOF	ASD	TA	TGA	TAPVC	PFO	PDA	DORV	PS	AVSD	Complex
												lesion
Male	20	1	5	1	2	1	0	3	3	2	2	1
	(24.3%)	(1.2%)	(6%)	(1.2%)	(2.4%)	(1.2%)		(3.6%)	(3.6%)	(2.4%)	(2.4%)	(1.2%)
Female	13	15	5	3	1	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
	(15.8%)	(18.3%)	(6%)	(3.6%)	(1.2%)		(1.2%)		(1.2%)	(1.2%)		(1.2%)

#### Table no7: comparison of the finding of present study with others

Author/References/	Total no.	Total	VSD	ASD	PDA	AVSD	PS	TOF	PFO	TA	TGA	TAPVC	DORV	PA	Complex
	of	no. of													Lesion
	admission	CHD													
		case													
Kasturi L et		108	29	26				10							
al,1999°			(27%)	(24%)				(9%)							
Mohammed S et		89	46	3	12		4	16			8				
al,2006 <sup>(10)</sup>	1023		(51.8%)	(3.4%)	(13.5%)		(4.45%)	(17.9%)			(8.9%)				
Memorn Y et		80	42	7	6	3	3	7			3				2
al,2007(11)			(52.5%)	(8.75%)	(7.75%)	(3.75%)	(3.75%)	(8.75%)			(3.75)				(2.5%)
Borzouee M		1817	427	147	139		184	201		32	47	7		31	105
etal,2008 <sup>(12)</sup>	2341	(77.7%)	(28%)	(9.2%)	(9.1%)		(12.1%)	(13.2%)		(2.1%)	(3.1%)	(0.46%)		(2%)	(6.9%)
Shah et al, 2008(13		84	49	6				11			1	3			
	14461		(53.3%)	(7.1%)				(13.1%)			(1.2%)	(3.6%)			
Jatav RK et		116	33	21	12	4	7	7		1	4	2		3	
al,2014 <sup>14</sup>	13554		(28.4%)	(18.1%)	(10.3%)	(3.44%)	(6.03%)	(6.03%)		(0.86%)	(3.44%)	(1.72%)		(2.58%)	
Hajela S et		100	29	13	5	1	1	26			9	4	3		5
al,2014(15)		(1.53%)	(58%)	(26%)	(10%)	(2%)	(2%)	(56%)			(18%)	(8%)	(6%)		(10%)
Kumar B et		50	16	8	5			9			3				4
al,2015(14)			(32%)	(16%)	(10%)			(18%)			(6%)				(8%)
Present study,		82	33	10	3	2	3	16	1		3	1	4	4	2
2015,	3359	(2.44%)	(40.2%)	(12.2%)	(3.6%)	(2.4%)	(3.6%)	(19.5%)	(2%)		(3.6%)	(2%)	(4.9%)	(4.9%)	(2.4%)

# Table no8: Comparison of the finding of present study with others

Author/References	Total no. of	Total no. of case	Incidence	Male	Female
Number	admission			(N. & %)	(N. & %)
Mohammed S et al,2006 <sup>(10)</sup>	1023	89	8.6%		
Memom Y et al,2007 <sup>(11)</sup>		80		50 (62.5%)	30 (37.5%)
Borzouee M etal,200812	2341	1380	76% M/F :: 1.3/1		
Shah et al,2008 <sup>(13)</sup>	1446	84	5.8%	51 (60.7%)	33 (39.3%)
Jatav RK et al,2014, <sup>(14)</sup>	13554	116	0.855%	72 (62.068%)	44 (37.93%)
Hajela S et al,2014 <sup>(15)</sup>		100	1.53%	59%	41%
Kumar B et al,2015 <sup>(16)</sup>		50		32 (64%)	18 (36%)
Present study, 2015	3359	82	2.44%	55 (67.07%)	27 (32.92%)

## IV. Discussion

The findings of the present study were comparable to all the previous studies except for the study done by Borzouee M et al. The incidence in Borouee M et al was found to be high. This discrepancy could be attributable to the fact that the study index was taken from the Deptt. of Cardiology,

## V. Conclusion

Present study was a hospital based obsevational study with 82 children of age group 1 month to 12 years suspected of congenital heart disease and definitive diagnosis was made by 2-D Echocardiography. Majority of cases presented belong to <1 yr age group followed by 8 - 12 yrs age group. Male predominance

over female was seen in the presentation of congenital heart disease. Among the cases taken for the study, acyanotic heart anomalies were more common cardiac amomalies. Among which Ventricular Septal Defect was the commonest defect and 2.4% cases were observed with complex lesions i.e. presenting with a mixed variety of congenital heart anomalies. Presence of murmurs was also evaluated in each and every case, which gave a clinical suspicion of congenital heart disease.

### Acknowledgement

Author would like to acknowledge the staff ofdeptt. of Pediatrics, Gauhati Medical College & Hospital who have provided with the necessary information to conduct the present study.

## References

- Mitchell SC, Koranes SB, Berendes HW. Congenital Heart Disease in 56109 births. Incidence Natural History. Circulation 1971; 43:323-32
- [2]. Brassili A, Mokhtar SA, Dabous NI, Zaher SR, Mokhtar MM, Zaki A. Congenital heart disease among school children in Alexandria, Egypt: an overview on prevalence and relative frequencies. *J Trop Pediatr.* 2000:46:357-62.
- [3]. Kapoor R, Gupta S. Prevalence of Congenital Heart Disease, Kanpur, India. *Indian Pediat*2008;45:309-11.
- [4]. Vashishta VM, Kalra A, Kalra K, Jain VK. Prevalence of congenital heart disease. Indian Pediatr1993; 30: 1337-40.
- [5]. Gupta J, Gupta ML, Parihar A, Gupta CD, Epidemiology of Congenital & Rheumatic Heart Disease in School Children. J. Ind. Med.Association. 1992;90:57-9.
- [6]. Khalil A, Aggarwal R, Thirupuram S, Arora R. Incidence of congenital heart disease among hospital live births in India. Indian Pediatr1994; 31: 519-24.
- [7]. Saxena A. Congenital Heart Disease in India: A status Report. Ind. Jrnl. Paed. Vol. 72, July 2005.595-8.
- [8]. Kinare SG, Sharma S. Congenital Heart Diseasein 1st year of life (an autopsy study of 270 cases). Ind. Jrnl. Paed1981:48:745-754.

[9]. Kasturi L, Kulkarni AV, Amin A, Mahashankar VA. Congenital heart disease: clinical spectrum. Indian Pediatr 1999;36:953.

- [10]. MohammedSadique Al-Hamash.Pattern of Congenital Heart Disease- a Hospital-Based Study. Al-Kindy Col Med J 2006; Vol.3 (1): P 44-48.
- [11]. MemonYasmeen, Majeed Rehana, MemonFeroz et al. Pattern of congenital heart disease at Liaquat University Hospital, Hyderabad. Pakistan Heart Journal. Vol. 40 No1-2 January-June 2007.
- [12]. Borzouee M, JannatiM .Distribution and Characteristics of the heart disease in Pediatric Age Group in Southern Iran. Iranian Cardiovascular Research Journal 2008 Vol.2, No. 1 p48-51
- [13]. Shah GS, Singh MK, Pandey TR, Kalakheti BK, Bhandari GP.Incidence of congenital heart disease in tertiary care hospital. Kathmandu University Medical Journal (2008), Vol. 6, No. 1, Issue 21, 33-36
- [14]. Jatav R.K,Kumbhare B Mamta, Srinivas M, RaoDR, Kumar PG,ReddyPR,Manjusha M. Prevalance and pattern of congenital heart disease in Karemnagar, Andhra Pradesh, India: diagnosed clinically and by transthoracic 2D Echocardiography. International Journal of research in Medical sciences. 2014 Feb; 2(1): 186-192
- [15]. Hajela S. Profile of Congenital Heart Disease in childhood. International Journal medical research and review, May- June 2014; Vol 2 Issue (3):p234- 241.
- [16]. Kumar B Deeva, Reddy K Ram, Elizabeth B. Study of incidence of Congenital heart disease in children of age group 1month to 12 yrs. Journal of evolution of medical and dental science/ eISSN- 2278-4802, pISSN-2278-4748/vol.4/issue 07/January 22.2015